

Reliability and Validity of Past-12-Month Frequency Items as Opening Questions for the Updated CRAFFT Adolescent Substance Use Screening System



Sion Kim Harris, PhD, Lon Sherritt, MPH, Sarah Copelas, BA, John R. Knight, Jr., MD, and the Partnership for Adolescent Substance Use Research

Background

- CRAFFT, a widely used adolescent substance use risk/problem brief screener, is a mnemonic for six items (Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Family/Friends, Trouble)
- It is preceded by three opening questions on any past-12-month use of alcohol, cannabis, or other drugs (yes/no)
- A previous study found sensitivity of yes/no items (which may be more prone to social desirability bias) was suboptimal (<70%) (Harris et al., 2016)
- Asking instead a presumptive question, "On how many days" (open-ended response) may improve validity

Objective

 Evaluate criterion validity and test-retest reliability of past-12-month use-frequency items among adolescent patients presenting for annual well-visits

Methods

- Overall Sample: age-gender-balanced sample of 844
 patients ages 12-18 years arriving for well-visits
 recruited from 5 Boston area practices (2 pediatricians'
 offices, 1 urban hospital adolescent clinic, 1 urban
 hospital pediatric clinic, and 1 community health center)
- Re-test Sample: age-gender-balanced subsample of 394 participants invited to complete re-test (77% completion rate)
- Study Flow:
- 1. Informed assent for ages 12-17, consent for 18-yr-olds
- 2. Computerized screening before seeing provider
- 3. Research Assistant, blinded to screen results, administers confidential 12-month substance use Timeline Follow-Back (TLFB) interview
- 4. Secure link to online re-test questionnaire emailed for completion within 2 weeks of clinic visit
- 5. Participants receives \$15 gift card for baseline, \$10 for retest. Protocol approved by Boston Children's Hospital and other sites' IRBs.

Data Analysis

- Criterion validity (confidential TLFB criterion standard):
- Sensitivities/specificities computed for detection of any past-12-month use alcohol and cannabis. "Other drug" use prevalence too low for further analyses
- Intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) computed for agreement in number of past 12-month use days
- Test-retest reliability
 - ICCs for agreement between time 1 and time 2 responses

Past-12-Month Frequency Items

During the past 12 months, on how many days...

- did you drink more than a few sips of beer, wine, or any drink containing alcohol?
- did you use any marijuana (pot, weed, or hash) or "synthetic marijuana" (e.g., "K2" or "Spice")?
- did you use anything else to get high (for example, other illegal drugs, medications, and things that you sniff or "huff")?

Results **Participant Characteristics** Total sample **Test-retest sample Total N** 844 302 50.6% 55.3% **Females** 15.2 <u>+</u> 1.8 Age (mean + SD years) 14.8 ± 1.9 Race White non-Hispanic 44.8% 53.7% Black non-Hispanic 10.4% 8.7% 29.7% 24.0% Hispanic Asian 8.4% 6.7% Other/Multi-race 6.7% 7.0% Parents with >college degree 72.4% 74.1%

Validity for Detection of Any Use in Past 12 Months					
	TLFB criterion % Any	Screening item % Any	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	
Alcohol	21.8%	20.3%	78.7 (72.2-84.0)	95.9 (94.1-97.2)	
Cannabis	11.8%	11.1%	85.9 (77.5-91.4)	98.9 (97.9-99.5)	
Other Drugs	1.0%	0.7%			

Validity of Number of Past-12-Month Use Days				
	TLFB criterion Mean days <u>+</u> SE	Screening item Mean days <u>+</u> SE	ICC (95%CI)	
Alcohol	1.34 <u>+</u> 0.21	1.40 <u>+</u> 0.21	.55 (.5160)	
Cannabis	3.33 <u>+</u> 0.85	2.71 <u>+</u> 0.77	.80 (.7782)	

Test-Retest Reliability of Number of Past-12-Month Use Days				
	Time 1 Screen Mean days <u>+</u> SE	Time 2 Screen Mean days <u>+</u> SE	ICC (95%CI)	
Alcohol	3.15 <u>+</u> 0.55	3.52 <u>+</u> 0.55	.84 (.8087)	
Cannabis	5.43 <u>+</u> 1.72	5.29 <u>+</u> 1.58	.95 (.9396)	

Discussion

 Sensitivity for identifying any past-12-month-use was higher compared to yes/no items in prior study (Harris et al., 2016).

Comparison of Sensitivity for Detecting Any Use			
	Yes/No item	Frequency item	
	(2014 study)	(Current study)	
Alcohol	62.1	78.7	
Cannabis	72.0	85.9	

- Specificity was high for all frequency items few false positives
- Validity of number-of-days was low for alcohol (found higher mean days on screener compared to the TLFB)
- Test-retest reliability (2-wks) for number-of-days was high

Potential Limitations

- Findings are preliminary as dataset is not yet finalized
- Rates of "other drug" use too low for analysis
- Findings may not be generalizable to other adolescent groups
- The criterion measure was based on self-report; however, confidential TLFB interviews have been found to yield reliable and valid reports of sensitive behaviors among adolescents (Levy et al., 2004; Hjørthøj et al., 2012))

Conclusion

- Detection of any past-12-month alcohol or cannabis use is improved by asking frequency questions compared to yes/no questions, making them better opening questions for the CRAFFT screening protocol
- CRAFFT 2.0 version with new frequency questions now available at http://www.childrenshospital.org/ceasar/crafft

References

- Harris SK, Knight JR, Van Hook S, Sherritt L, Brooks T, Kulig JW, Nordt C, Saitz R. Validity of computer self-administered vs. clinician-administered screening of adolescents in primary care. Substance Abuse. 2016 Jan-Mar;37(1):197-203.
- Levy S, Sherritt L, Harris SK, Gates E, Holder DW, Kulig JW, Knight JR. Testretest reliability of adolescents' self-report of substance use. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research. 2004(Aug);28(8):1236-41.
- Hjorthøj CR, Hjorthøj AR, Nordentoft M. Validity of Timeline Follow-Back for self-reported use of cannabis and other illicit substances--systematic review and meta-analysis. Addictive Behaviors. 2012;37:225–33.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants 1R01AA021904 and 1R34AA023026 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA). We thank our study Steering Committee members: Drs. Traci Brooks, Laura Grubb, Tom Silva, Louis Vernacchio, and Wendy Wornham. We thank our research study staff: Jesse Boggis, Paula Carroll, Sarah Copelas, Jill Finlayson, Erin Bray Gibson, Kateryna Kuzubova, Victoria Rines, and Jessica Tauber. Jill Finlayson assisted in preparation of this poster.